

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | D. F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/902,021 | 09/902,021 07/10/2001 | | Scott H. Mathews | 38190/234988 | 9288 | | |
| 826 | 7590 | 02/05/2004 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| ALSTON | & BIRD I | LLP | AKERS, GEOFFREY R | | | | |
| BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 | | | 00 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 28280-4000 | | 3624 | | | |
| | | | .01 | DATE MAILED: 02/05/200 | 4 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Assistant Co. | 09/90202) | Applica | not his | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | 1 | Art Unit | T | | | |
| | Alors | 9 | 3624 | 1441 | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet wi | the corre | spondence addres | s | | | |
| Period for Reply | _ | \circ | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | H(S) FROM | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. | ı no event, however, may a rep | by be timely filed | l after SIX (6) MONTHS | from the | | | |
| If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the second secon | the statutony minimum of thirty | /20\ da | | | | | |
| - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause t | and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS | S from the mailin | ng date of this communic | cation. | | | |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | this communication, even if tim | nely filed, may re | duce any | | | | |
| Status | , , , | / | | • | | | |
| 1) Presponsive to communication(s) filed on | 12/3/0 | | - <u> </u> | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act | tion is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance of the second in appendix as with the second | except for formal mat | tters, prose | cution as to the | merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa Disposition of Claims | rte Quayle, 1935 C.D |). 11; 453 | O.G. 213. | norts is | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) | | is/are | pending in the a | pplication. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | | is/are | e withdrawn fron | n consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | i | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6) Lu Claim(s) / -27 | | i | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| | | i | is/are objected to |). | | | |
| 8) U Claims | are subjec | ot to restric | tion and/or electi | on requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are | | | | iner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) be held in ab | eyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ | approved ! | b)□ disapproved | by the Examiner. | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | o this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | _ | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do | | | | ' | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | this National Stag | ge | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | a. | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional | application has been | received. | 1. | | | | |
| 15)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S. | C. §§ 120 | and/or 121, | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | unayor | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PT) | O-413) Paper No | o(s) | | | | |
| 2) (Vinterment) 9: 1 | 5) Notice of Informal Paten | nt Application (P7 | TO-152) | J | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Reply to Response

- 1. This action is issued in reply to applicant's Response (Paper #10) filed 12/3/03.
- 2. No claims were amended. No claims were added; none were deleted.
- 3. Claims 1-27 as presented in the Request for Continued Examination(Paper #7) and Amendment A(Paper #8) filed 8/8/03 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Glasserman(US Pat. No: 6,381,586) in view of Shepherd(US Pat. No: 6,157,918) and further in view of Makivic(US Pat. No: 6,061,662).
- 6. As per claims 1-27 Glasserman teaches a method of performing a contingent valuation(Abstract)(Fig 6/606)(Fig 5/508).Glasserman teaches selecting an importance-sampling distribution with stratification or Monte-Carlo simulation sequencing(Abstract)(Fig 1/11/12/13)(Fig 2-7).Glasserman provides a method whereby assets may be priced(col 2 lines 4-12).Glasserman also teaches variance reduction techniques in different asset valuations(Table 1)(col 8 lines 5-27)(Table 2). In addition to that taught by Glasserman, Shepherd further teaches depicting future values with future

Art Unit: 3624

times of maturity(Fig 7) for derivatives(Fig 9B)(Fig 10A)(Fig 10B)(Fig 11A)(Fig .11B)(12A)(Fig 12B) utilizing discount rates as well as calculational means(col 2 line 50col 3 line 18)(col 14 line 54-col 15 line 15). Shepherd also teaches net contingent amounts(Fig 9A) and implied contingent amounts as well as assessed probabilities of occurrences(Fig 9B) as well as sets of contingent payouts(Fig 18A). Shepherd further teaches contingent entitlements multiplied by assessed probabilities of occurrence to equal net contingent valuation amounts(Fig 23B)(Fig 32B) and net contingent entitlements multiplied by asset prices to equal implied contingent amounts(Fig 32A) and feasible contingent entitlement payouts(Fig 24)(Fig 26). In addition to that taught by Shepherd, Makivic also teaches price changes and losses in derivative markets(Fig. 3)(col 4 lines 12-20) and the utilization of a Monte Carlo method(col 4 line 25-col 7 line 24) for pricing assets(Abstract) which incorporates utilizing historical asset data(Fig. 1/50). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Glasserman in view of Shepherd to teach part of the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a method to reduce investment risk as enunciated by Shepherd(col 1 line 37-col 2 line 9). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Glasserman in view of Shepherd and further in view of Makivic to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a method for derivative valuation for market participants as enunciated by Makivic(col 2 lines 58-62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021

Art Unit: 3624

8. Claims 1-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. In particular, applicant is requested to present the defining equations, conditions, assumptions in the model formulation together with the derivations in sufficient detail so that one of ordinary skill in the art can evaluate the model. The defining equations, assumptions, limitations and validity conditions should be addressed by applicant, as well as stability issues with respect to the solutions obtained as well as the sets of conditions under which the Black-Sholes options pricing model holds true for the present disclosure. The model must be presented in sufficient derivational detail to permit one to determine its novel features as advanced by applicant. The relaxation of the restrictive assumptions in an optionality of a discretionary investment should be delineated.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 9. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 10. Claims 19-27 are rejected under 35 USC 101 for failing to describe a concrete, useful and tangible output. Identification of the particularly calculated values and their use in the model is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 12/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Glasserman and Makivic both teach pricing options(Fig 1/12)(Abstract) which includes pricing for a contingent future benefit. Makivic further teaches ascertaining current asset data(Fig 1/40) which incorporates current asset pricing as well as the determination of historical option price volatility estimation based on real data(Fig 4).Calculation of the present value from a distribution of future values is well known and is directly dependent upon an imputed interest rate, or discount rate and an entire family of discount rates may be derived to produce a distribution of present values.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021 Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

13. Questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the primary examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the primary examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-3687.

GRA

February 3,2004

DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E. PRIMARY EXAMINER